(3)

4

**IN THE M.P. STATE ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL AT RAIPUR**
**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 307 OF 1998**

WBS-2486/07

APPLICANTS :

1. **KHOOB CHAND MAJHI**
AGED ABOUT 28, YEARS
S/O SHRI BALDEO SINGH MAGHI
VILLAGE - MUCHBAHAL,
BLOCK - MAINPUR ,TAH.- DEOBHOG
DISTT.- RAIPUR (M.P.)

2. **PHOLDHAR SINGH PAVDE**
S/O SHRI RAM LAL PAVDE
AGEGD ABOUT 38 YEARS
R/O VILEGE - BHEJIPADAR POST - KANDEKELA
BLOCK - MAINPUR, TAH.- DEOBHOG
DISTT. - RAIPUR (M.P.)

3. **PUSTAM SINGH DHRU**
AGED ABOUT 29, YEARS,
D/O SHRI JANGLU RAM DHRU
R/O VILLEGE - POST- AMLIPADAR
BLOCK - MAINPUR,TAH. - DEOBHOG
DISTT. - RAIPUR (M.P.)

4. **JAGNNATH SONVANI**
AGED ABOUT 30 YEARS,
S/O SHRI BAJRAJ SONVANI
R/O VILLEGE - BHEJIPADAR, POST -KANDEKELA
BLOCK - MAINPUR, TAH. - DEOBHOG
DISTT. - RAIPUR (M.P.)

5. **DULLURAM YADU**
AGED ABOUT 29 YEARS
S/O SHRI NURPORAM
R/O VALLEG & POST - DHARANIDHODHA
BLOCK - MAINPUR, TAH. - DEOBHOG
DISTT. - RAIPUR (M.P.)

6. **KU. SANDHYA THAKUR**
AGED ABOUT 35 YEARS
D/O SHRI MANSINGH THAKUR
R/O VILLEG & POST - AMLIPADAR
BLOCK - MAINPUR, TAH.- DEOBHOG
DISTT. -RAIPUR

7. **KU. LAXMINI THAKUR**
AGED ABOUT 37YEARS
D/O SHRI MANSINGH THAKUR
R/O VILLEG & POST - AMLIPADAR
BLOCK - MAINPUR, TAH.- DEOBHOG
DISTT. -RAIPUR

8. **SMT. KALYANI MISHRA**
AGED ABOUT 29 YEARS
W/O SHRI MAHESH KUMAR MISHRA
VILLEHE POST - AMLIPADAR
BLOCK - MAINPUR, TAH. - DEOBHOG
DISTT. - RAIPUR

9. **GIRDHARI LAL KASHYAP**
AGED ABOUT 34, YEARS,
S/O SHRI CHARAN SINGH KASHYAP
R/O -VILLAGE - KOSAEKANI
BLOCK - DEOBHOG
DISTT. - RAIPUR (M.P.)

खूबचंद सिंह मरकाम
ग्रा॰ उचकहाल

10. **SHANKER SINGH SOM**
AGED ABOUT 32 YEARS,
S/O SHRI NAYK BUDA SOM
R/O VILLAGE - MAGARROADA, POST -SITLIJOR
TAHA & BLOCK - DEOBHOG
DISTT. - RAIPUR (M.P.)

11. **SMT. DURGA DEVI NETAM**
AGED ABOUT 33 YEARS
W/O SHRI RADHESHYAM NETAM
AT PRESENT R/O VILLEGE - MURGUDA
POST - SINAPALI , TAH.- DEOBHOG
DISTT. - RAIPUR

12. **RADHESHYAM NETAM**
AGED ABOUT 35 YEARS
SHRI SHOBHARAM NETAM
AT PRESENT R/O VILLEGE - MURGUDA
POST - SINAPALI , TAH.- DEOBHOG
DISTT. - RAIPUR

13. **KUMAR MANI YADU**
AGED ABOUT 36 YEARS
R/O VILLEGE - DIWANMUNDA
POST - JHAKHARPARA
TAH. - DEOBHOG
DISTT. - RAIPUR

(1 To 13 all applicants are Ex. Mansevi Up Sahayak Shikchhak previously appointed on due selection and eligibilites against the vacant post of Asstt. Teacher)

VERSUS

NON-APPLICANTS/ RESPONDENTS :

(1) STATE OF M.P..
THROUGH COLLECTOR,RAIPUR (M.P.)
P.O. COLLECTORATE, RAIPUR (M.P.)

(2) COMMISSIONER OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTIONS,
GOVERNMENT OF M.P.,
DIRECTORATE OF EDUCATION (DPI OFFICE)
NEAR CHETAK BRIDGE, BHOPAL (M.P.)

(3) DEPUTY DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTIONS,
EDUCATION. DEPTT.
EDUCATION DISTT. RAIPUR
P.O. PENSION BADA] ARVIND NAGAR WARD
RAIPUR (M.P.)

(4) BLOCK EDUCATION OFFICER
GOVT. OF M.P. EDUCATION DEPTT.
P.O. - DEOBHOG
BLOCK & TAH. DEOBHOG,
DISTT. RAIPUR

(5) BLOCK EDUCATION OFFICER
GOVT. OF M.P. TRIBAL WELFARE DEPTT.
P.O. MAINPUR
TAH.- GARIABAND
DISTT. - RAIPUR

**APPLICATION /PETITION UNDER SECTION 19 OF THE ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL ACT. 1985 (NO.13 OF 1985) READ WITH ARTICLES 14 ,16,21 & 311 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA CONSIDERED WITH PRINCIPLES OF NATURAL JUSTICE**

**PARTICULARS OF APPLICANTION**

1. PARTICULAR OF APPLICANTS : AS IN CAUSE TITLE

2. PARTICULARS OF NON - APPLICANTS : AS IN CAUSE TITLE

51

**HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR**

---

**S.B. : HON'BLE MR. SATISH K. AGNIHOTRI, J.**

---

**WRIT PETITION (S.) NO.2486 OF 2005**

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| Petitioner | : | Khoob Chand Majhi and others |
| | versus | |
| Respondents | : | State of M.P. and others |

---

Present : Mr. Alok Nigam, counsel for the petitioners.
Mr. Ajay Dwivedi, Panel Lawyer for the respondents/State.

---

**ORDER**
(Passed on 7th of September, 2006)

It is submitted that the facts and question of law involved in the present petition are same to that of in *Ram Sahay Jha vs. State of Madhya Pradesh and others* (Original Application No.15/1995). The Madhya Pradesh Administrative Tribunal, Jabalpur, by its judgment and order dated 16-2-1996, allowed the application of the petitioner therein. Learned counsel for the petitioners seeks permission of this Court to approach the respondents/State for consideration and disposal of the petitioners'

52

case in terms of the order dated 16-2-1996 passed in the case of *Ram Sahay Jha* (Supra) by Madhya Pradesh Administrative Tribunal, Jabalpur.

2. Learned counsel appearing for the respondents/State fairly agrees with the submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioners.

3. Accordingly, the petitioners are granted liberty to make a representation before the respondents – State Authorities for their decision on the representation in the light of the order dated 16-2-1996 passed in the case of *Ram Sahay Jha* (Supra), in accordance with law, on its own merit, within reasonable time.

4. With the above-stated liberty, this petition stands disposed of. No order as to costs.

Certified copy as per rules.

**Sd/-**
**Satish K. Agnihotri**
**Judge**

Gopal